

REMARKS

I. Introduction

In response to the Office Action, Applicants have amended claims 36 to further clarify the subject matter of the present invention and cancelled claims 35 and 44-52, without prejudice. No new matter has been added.

In response to the Office Action of January 10, 2006, Applicants submit that claims 33-34 and 36-43 each read upon the elected species IV of the Restriction Requirement of June 3, 2005. Each of the claims is applicable to Species IV as shown in Fig. 9 of the drawings. In response to the Examiner's allegation that previously presented claim 33, being a structural claim is not supported by original claims 1 and 7, which are method claims, Applicants respond that support for claim 33 may be found, for example, in original claims 22-25 and the related portions of the specification.

As all pending claims that were rejected in the August 1, 2005 Office Action have been cancelled, the rejections are now moot.

Having fully responded to all matters raised in the Office Action, Applicants submit that all claims are in condition for allowance, an indication of which is respectfully solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper,

Application No.: 09/661,281

including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP



Reg No 53,308

for Michael E. Fogarty
Registration No. 36,139

600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3096
Phone: 202.756.8000 MEF/NDM:kap
Facsimile: 202.756.8087
Date: October 26, 2006

**Please recognize our Customer No. 20277
as our correspondence address.**